

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/591,408 | 07/19/2007 | Olivier Lavastre | F-916 (31223.00145) 3407 | |
| ²⁵²⁶⁴ FINA TECHN | 7590 01/09/2008 OLOGY INC | | EXAMINER | |
| PO BOX 674412 | | | LU, C CAIXIA | |
| HOUSTON, TX 77267-4412 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 01/09/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/591,408 | LAVASTRE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Caixia Lu | 1796 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | • | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | - action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 13-32 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-32</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-32</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | epted or b) \square objected to by the E | xaminer. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3.⊠ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | | |

10/591,408 Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hlatky (WO 01/814366).

Hlatky disclosed a catalyst composition comprising a metallocene complex, an ionic salt such as 1,3-dialkylimidazolium salt and a cocatalyst such as (alumoxane page 4, lines 14-32; page 5, lines 5-9; and page 6, lines 11-25). Hlatky further discloses that the ionic liquid is used in the range of 1-100 wt.% based on the total weight of ionic liquid, cocatalyst, and metal complex catalyst in lines 10-16 of page 7, and the catalyst composition can be used to conduct slurry polymerization olefin polymerization in line? of page 8.

Thus, it would have been obvious to a skilled artisan at the time the invention was made to employ Hlatky's teaching to prepare olefin polymers in by slurry polymerization since such is within the scope of Hlatky's disclosure and in the absence of any showing criticality and unexpected results. When the slurry polymerization is conducted, Hlatky's catalyst composition must be suspended in a diluent, and the most common used diluent are hydrocarbons such as isobutane, hexane and heptane. A skilled artisan would also understand, during the preparation of the catalyst composition

10/591,408 Art Unit: 1796

slurry for the slurry polymerization, minimum amount of ionic liquid should be used for economical reasons, i.e., ionic liquid used should be at the low end of the range of 1-100 wt. % based on the total weight of ionic liquid, cocatalyst, and metal complex catalyst, and such catalyst slurry would meet the limitations of the instant claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1106. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful and the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached at (571) 272-1114. The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1700.

Caixia Lu, Ph. D. Primary Examiner

inder Kn